

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,904	11/24/2003	George Sgouros	D6348CIP	5297
7590 04/02/2007 Benjamin Aaron Adler			EXAM	NER
ADLER & ASSOCIATES			PERREIRA, MELISSA JEAN	
8011 Candle La Houston, TX 77			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			•	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/720,904	SGOUROS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melissa Perreira	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 No.	ovember 2003					
	action is non-final.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-59 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau		and a				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 1618

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-19 are drawn to a method of reducing the systemic release of radioactive decay intermediates, classified in class 424, subclass 9.52.
  - II. Claims 20-33 are drawn to a method of targeting cells in an individual for liposomal delivery of an alpha particle-emitting radionuclide, classified in class 424, subclass 9.52.
  - III. Claims 34-42 are drawn to a method of targeting cells expressing HER-2/neu protein in an individual for liposomal delivery of Ac-225, classified in class 424, subclass 9.52.
  - IV. Claims 43-59 are drawn to an encapsulated alpha particle emitting radionuclide, classified in class 424, subclass 1.21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are directed to a method of reducing the systemic release of radioactive decay intermediates and the method of targeting cells in an individual for liposomal delivery of an alpha particle-emitting radionuclide. These methods are unrelated as they may be performed with different liposomal compositions and may include different method steps. The liposomal compositions of the methods may

Art Unit: 1618

include different types of targeting agents, such as antibodies, peptides, engineered molecules, etc. which would provide for materially different liposomal compositions.

These materially different liposomal compositions will target different cells, thus providing different modes of operation and will yield a different effect. Since the methods may be accomplished with materially different liposomal compositions they are unrelated. A search of these unrelated inventions would require an undue search burden.

Inventions I/II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown 3. that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions directed to a method of reducing the systemic release of radioactive decay intermediates, the method of targeting cells in an individual for liposomal delivery of an alpha particle-emitting radionuclide and the method of targeting cells expressing HER-2/neu protein in an individual for liposomal delivery of Ac-225. These methods are unrelated as they may be performed with different liposomal compositions and may include different method steps. The liposomal compositions of the methods of groups I and II comprises radionuclides, such as <sup>212</sup>Pb with different types of targeting agents, such as antibodies, peptides, engineered molecules, etc. The liposomal composition of the method of group III comprises <sup>225</sup>Ac and may include different types of molecules, etc. which would provide for materially different liposomal compositions than those of groups I and II. These materially different liposomal compositions will generate different daughter radionuclides and target different cells, thus providing different modes of

Art Unit: 1618

operation and will yield a different effect. Since the methods may be accomplished with materially different liposomal compositions they are unrelated. Also, the method of group II involves targeting cells with a liposomal composition while the method of group III is the method of targeting cells expressing HER 2/neu protein which don't necessarily required the same liposomal composition and would be capable of being performed in a distinct manner. A search of these unrelated inventions would require an undue search burden.

4. Inventions IV and I/II/III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case an encapsulated alpha particle emitting radionuclide and the methods of reducing the systemic release of radioactive decay intermediates, targeting cells in an individual for liposomal delivery of an alpha particle-emitting radionuclide and targeting cells expressing HER-2/neu protein in an individual for liposomal delivery of Ac-225. As described above the methods of groups I,II and III can be performed with materially different liposomal compositions and not necessarily with the encapsulated alpha particle emitting radionuclide of group IV. Therefore the inventions are not useable together. A search of these unrelated inventions would require an undue search burden.

Art Unit: 1618

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an **election of an invention** to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i). Due to the complex nature of the instant restriction requirement, a written restriction requirement was necessitated. See MPEP § 812.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1618

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Perreira whose telephone number is 571-272-1354. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm M-F.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/720,904

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Hartley can be reached on 571-272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MP March 28, 2007

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER